







Forage Research in Texas

Departmental Technical Report No.80-6 Department of Soil and Crop Sciences FG-0016

Project: H 6046 - H 6320 Workers: L. R. Nelson and T. C. Keisling

COMPARISON OF RATES AND SOURCES OF POTASSIUM ON COASTAL BERMUDAGRASS

SUMMARY

This study reports on the effect of potassium (K) sources and fertilization rates on Coastal bermudagrass production. Although the study has been underway for only 2 years, we have observed significant increases in forage yield for higher K fertilization rates. Increases in forage yields are reported for 150 lbs K_2 0/acre compared to an untreated control and also for 300 lbs of K_2 0 compared to the 150 lb rate. Potassium applied as sulfur-coated KCl produced higher yields than when applied as either K_2 0 (0-0-60) or sulfur-coated K_2 SO $_4$. The response to K fertilization was greater in the second year of the study than in the first year. This indicated that soil K reserves were being depleted under the lower K fertilization treatments. No stand losses have been observed and the study will be continued an additional year.

OBJECTIVES

To compare the influence of different rates of both single season and split applications of ordinary KC1 (muriate of potash, 60% K $_2$ 0) with sulfur-coated KC1 and K $_2$ SO $_4$ on dry matter yield and stand maintenance of Coastal bermudagrass.

PROCEDURE

Two experiments were located on well established bermudagrass meadows. The soil at one location is a deep sand (Darco series) and the other is a somewhat rocky soil (Cuthbert series). Bermuda pastures at both sites had exhibited stand thinning, foliar diseases and reduced yield at low K rates.

Nitrogen was applied as ammonium nitrate in equal applications of 100 lbs/N/acre after each harvest. Phosphorus was applied once in the spring at 150 lbs P_2O_5 /acre. Sulfur at 40 lbs S/acre was applied once in the spring as gypsum. Potassium fertilizer was broadcast over the plots by hand.

Formerly Assistant Professor, Soils, Overton

Potassium fertilizer sources were muriate of potash (KC1), sulfur-coated muriate of potash (S-KC1), and sulfur-coated potassium sulfate (S- K_2 SO $_4$). Each potassium fertilizer was applied once annually at 150 and 300 lbs K_2 O per acre. The KC1 was applied as a split application. Each split application was 1/4 of the annual rate and was applied after each cutting. The nine treatments were as follows:

Treatment #	Treatment	Source	# Applications	
1	0 1bs K20/ac		None	
2	150 lbs K ₂ 0/ac	0-0-60 (KC1)	in a subject of the subject of	
3	300 lbs K ₂ 0/ac		Burnel and hor factor	
4	150 lbs K ₂ 0/ac	0-0-60 (KC1)	4 (split)	
5	300 lbs K ₂ 0/ac		4 (split)	
6	150 lbs K ₂ 0/ac	Sulfur-coated KCl	can one of wedgens of	
7	300 lbs K20/ac	Sulfur-coated KCl	l hatening	
8	150 lbs K ₂ 0/ac	Sulfur-coated K ₂ SO ₄	newisacion inestrue	
9		Sulfur-coated K ₂ SO ₄	spin i toma di El luculari	

Harvest was in the boot stage when possible, otherwise, as the weather idictated. Dry matter yield was determined by mowing a 3 feet by 10 feet area, weighing and taking a subsample for subsequent moisture determination.

RESULTS

Both soils in this study were fairly high in potassium (K) and no response to K fertilization early in the study was observed. On the Cuthbert soil (Table 1), significant increases in forage yield were not observed until the third clipping. In the second clipping, yields from the untreated (K) control were beginning to be diminished. In the third clipping 1978, little or no real differences existed between the K rates or treatments with the exception of 150 lb K_2 0 split treatment which produced a lower yield. In the third clipping in 1979, there was some indication that the 300 lb K_2 0 treatment was maintaining higher yields than the 150 lb K_2 0 rate. In particular, the 300 lb sulfur-coated KC1 treatment produced highest yields.

On the Darco deep sand, a significant response to K fertilization was not observed in 1978 (Table 2). By the second year (1979), a response to K was observed on the fourth clipping and appeared to be showing up on the third clipping also. On this soil little differences were observed between the 150 and 300 lbs K_2 0 rates. Again, the 300 lbs sulfur-coated KCl treatment produced the highest yield.

The average response on the Cuthbert soil to the 300 lbs K_2 0 treatment (compared to the 150 lb rate) was only 44 lbs of forage in 1978. However, the difference increased to 1163 lbs of forage in 1979. On the Darco sand, the extra 150 lbs of K_2 0 produced an increase of 152 lbs of total forage in 1978 and an increase of 839 lbs of forage in 1979. Since we have observed a larger response to K fertilization during the second year than in 1978, this was an indication that the K reserves in the soil being treated with 150 lbs K_2 0 were becoming depleted. The K reserves in the soil being treated with 300 lbs K_2 0 were being maintained. At this time, we have not observed any reduction in stands under any of the treatments. The study will be carried on for at least one more year and we expect to observe a larger response to K fertilization in the third year than we did in the second year. We also may observe a loss in stands in some of the plots fertilized at the lower rates of K.

Table 1. Yield of Coastal bermudagrass under nine potassium treatments on a Cuthbert rocky soil in 1978 and 1979.

	1978		
Treatment	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3
	1bs of over	dried for	rage/acre
0 1bs K ₂ 0/acre	3334 ² /	2693 ² /	2023c
150 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 (KC1)	3389	2946	2511abc
300 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1)	2885	3652	2727ab
150 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1)	3408	3310	2265bc
300 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 (KC1)	3416	3128	3696ab
150 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated KC1	3414	3412	2623ab
300 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated KC1	3060	3282	2730a
150 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated K ₂ S0 ₄	3424	3048	2504abc
300 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated K ₂ S0 ₄	3246	2984	2624ab
0 1bs K ₂ 0/acre	4517 ² / 5812 5027 5209 6684 6658 7116 6637 5532	2290 ² /	1743 ³ /d
150 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 (KC1)		3457	4116bc
300 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1)		3351	4279bc
150 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1)		2989	4154bc
300 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 (KC1)		4586	4693ab
150 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated KC1		3222	3790c
300 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated KC1		3750	5275a
150 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated K ₂ S0 ₄		3330	4163bc
300 1bs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated K ₂ S0 ₄		3498	4401bc

^{1/}Potassium fertilizer split into four equal applications.

^{2/}Yields not followed by any letters are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability as judged by Duncan's multiple range test.

^{3/}Yields followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level as judged by Duncan's multiple range test.

Table 2. Yield of Coastal bermudagrass in nine potassium treatments on a Darco deep sand in 1978 and 1979.

Treatment	Cut 1	Cut 2	Cut 3	Cut 4
	1bs	of oven dry	forage/a	cre
0 lbs K ₂ 0/acre	3871 ² /	2737 ² /	2444 ² /	
150 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 (KC1)	4035	2823	2293	
300 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1)	3497	2771	2624	
150 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1)	3736	2761	2523	
300 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 (KC1)	3689	2925	2468	
150 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated KC1	3831	2812	2448	
300 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated KC1	3947	3144	2869	
150 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated K ₂ S0 ₄	3886	3196	2181	
300 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated K ₂ S0 ₄	3699	2808	2693	
1979)	0 RC1JA)0 0 190091 2	t shull A	and feet
0 lbs K ₂ 0/acre 150 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 (KC1) 300 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1) 150 lbs K ₂ 0/acer of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1) 300 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of 0-0-60 ₁ (KC1) 150 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated KC1 300 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated KC1 150 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated K ₂ S0 ₄ 300 lbs K ₂ 0/acre of S-coated K ₂ S0 ₄	4167 ² / 4274 3811 3035 4601 2803 4083 4254	3976 ² / 3668 3661 3645 3966 4117 4113 3758	5677 ² / 6470 6134 4850 5293 4980 7101 6568	4771c ³ / 5666ab 5436bc 5713ab 5788ab 5923ab 6226a 5824ab

Potassium fertilizer split into four equal applications.

^{2/}Yields not followed by any letters are not significantly different at the 5% level of probability as judged by Duncan's multiple range test.

^{3/}Yields followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level as judged by Duncan's multiple range test.